






1/14

Fig.1



2/14

Fig.2



SECTIONAL VIEW ALONG II - II

3/14

Fig. 2A





Fig. 2B



4/14

Fig.3







Fig.4



5/14


Fig.5



VIEW ALONG ARROW B

6/14

Fig.6



7/14

Fig.7A






Fig.7B



SECTIONAL VIEW ALONG VII₁-VII₁

Fig.7C



SECTIONAL VIEW ALONG VII₂-VII₂

8/14

Fig.8A




Fig.8B







Fig.9




9/14

Fig.10





SECTIONAL VIEW
ALONG X_1-X_1




SECTIONAL VIEW
ALONG X_2-X_2

10/14

Fig.11



SECTIONAL VIEW
ALONG XI₁-XI₁



SECTIONAL VIEW
ALONG XI₂-XI₂

11/14

Fig.12A





Fig.12B



12/14

Fig.13A





Fig.13B



13/14

Fig.14

• WEIGHT AND AREA COMPARISON [mm]

	CONVENTIONAL CCB	2-PIPE CCB	3-PIPE CCB
CCB	Fe PIPE OF Φ54, t1.6	Fe PIPE OF Φ38.1, t1.2	Fe PIPE OF Φ38.1, t1.0
BRACE	t 1.2	-	-

<WEIGHT EFFICIENCY> [kg]

WEIGHT	CONVENTIONAL CCB	2-PIPE CCB	3-PIPE CCB
CCB	2.80	1.48	1.25
BRACE	0.47	0.94	1.50
SUM	3.27	2.42	2.75

*WEIGHT CALCULATED FROM 3D MODEL.
BRACE WEIGHT OF 2- AND 3-PIPE CCB s
REPRESENTED BY L-SHAPED LOWER CCB WEIGHT

<SECTIONAL AREA> [mm²]

SECTIONAL AREA	CONVENTIONAL CCB	2-PIPE CCB	3-PIPE CCB
CENTRAL PORTION	9,161	4,560	←
D SEAT PORTION	9,161	9,120	←
P SEAT PORTION	9,161	4,560	9,120



• COMPARISON OF DEFORMATION STRENGTH ANALYSIS
(REACTION AGAINST CCB 20mm INDICATED BY INDEX)

	CONVENTIONAL CCB	2-PIPE CCB	3-PIPE CCB
D SEAT PORTION	100	100	105
P SEAT PORTION	100	55	105

14/14

Fig.15

PRIOR ART



SECTION ALONG XV-XV